



## INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)


(51) International Patent Classification <sup>6</sup> : <b>H04Q 7/38, H04B 7/26</b>		A1	(11) International Publication Number: <b>WO 99/51052</b> 10/7/99
			(43) International Publication Date: 7 October 1999 (07.10.99)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/SE99/00440 (22) International Filing Date: 19 March 1999 (19.03.99) (30) Priority Data: 4/1/1998 9801172-9 1 April 1998 (01.04.98) SE (71) Applicant: TELEFONAKTIEBOLAGET LM ERICSSON (publ) [SE/SE]; S-126 25 Stockholm (SE). (72) Inventors: OLOFSSON, Håkan, Gunnar, Ringvägen 50, S-118 67 Stockholm (SE). SCHRAMM, Peter, Taublingstrasse 31, D-91058 Erlangen (DE). MÜLLER, Frank; Kihlgrensvägen 17, S-191 79 Sollentuna (SE). (74) Agent: ERICSSON RADIO SYSTEMS AB; Common Patent Dept., S-164 80 Stockholm (SE).		(81) Designated States: AE, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CU, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, UA, UG, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW, ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, SD, SL, SZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).  Published With international search report. Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.	

(54) Title: CELL SELECTION IN MOBILE RADIO SYSTEMS

## (57) Abstract

200

The present invention relates generally to the problem of cell selection, for e.g. cell handover, in mobile telecommunication systems, and more particularly to the problem of selecting the optimum cell among cells with differing capabilities due to different air interface modes. Known algorithms for cell selection and handover are extended by applying additional criteria that take into account the capabilities, due to different modulation and coding schemes, of the mobile station and the base stations that are possible candidates. The quality of service ("QoS") is predicted for the different cell candidates based on a combination of signal strength or C/I, different cell capabilities, multislot capability, etc. Then the cell is selected for which the predicted QoS is maximum. In another embodiment the invention is extended by taking into account further criteria which are suitable from a system point of view, e.g. to avoid a significant increase of average outage probability or interference level. The present invention increases the use of base stations supporting high data rates. The result is an increase in overall system throughput.



*FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY*

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Larvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece			TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	ML	Mali	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MN	Mongolia	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MR	Mauritania	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MW	Malawi	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	MX	Mexico	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NE	Niger	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NL	Netherlands	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NO	Norway	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	NZ	New Zealand		
CM	Cameroon			PL	Poland		
CN	China	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CU	Cuba	KZ	Kazakstan	RO	Romania		
CZ	Czech Republic	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
DE	Germany	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DK	Denmark	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
EE	Estonia	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		

**CELL SELECTION IN MOBILE RADIO SYSTEMS**FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates generally to the problem of cell selection, for e.g. cell handover, in mobile telecommunication systems, and more particularly to the problem of selecting the optimum cell among cells with differing capabilities due to different air interface modes.

RELATED ART

In Figure 1 is shown a view of a typical mobile system with many cells and a number of mobile stations ("MS"). Each of these cells has an associated base station ("BS") which is responsible for radio communication over the air interface to mobile stations in that cell. For a given MS at a given time there is usually one serving cell which is the cell with the base station that the MS is receiving service from so that the MS may receive and transmit communication via the serving base station.

It is characteristic of modern cellular systems that it is possible to switch a call from one base station to another while the mobile station is moving from one cell to another within the mobile communication system. This is termed handover or handoff. In mobile communication systems, the handover process uses measurements made by the mobile station, the serving base station, and/or by surrounding base stations, using these measurements in the handover decision-making process. These measurements can be taken of the quality of the connections, or links, between the MS and the base station or surrounding base stations. "Link quality" measurements include e.g. the raw bit error rate ("BER") and the received signal

strength of the various links between the MS and its serving base station or between the MS and the surrounding base stations.

For example, in the mobile communication system known as GSM  
5 ("Global System for Mobile Communications"), a mobile station monitors the link quality (e.g. raw BER estimate and received signal strength) of the signal (downlink signal) received from the base station of the serving cell, as well as the link quality in terms of reception level, i.e. the received signal  
10 strength, of the downlink signal from the base stations in cells adjacent to the serving cell. In addition, the base station of the cell monitors the quality of the signal (uplink signal) received to the base station from every mobile station that is served by that base station.

15 Handover then occurs when either the measurement of the MS/BS indicates that the link quality in the currently serving cell is low and a better quality can be obtained from an adjacent cell, or an adjacent cell allows communication with lower transmission levels.

20 The problem of handover in today's systems can be summed up by saying that the strategy is to keep the mobile station connected to the "best" cell. The problem of selecting the "best" cell is simple in today's systems compared with the new systems to be developed over the coming years. These future  
25 systems will be based on different radio interface modes (e.g. with different coding and modulation schemes).

An example of developments in these future systems is the gradual introduction of several modulation and coding schemes in existing systems like e.g. GSM. Modulation is essentially  
30 the function which imposes the characteristics of the electromagnetic field (e.g. amplitude and frequency) onto a set of rules and the data to be transmitted (which "modulates"

the transmission). In the case of today's GSM it is the phase of the electromagnetic field which carries the information. It is usual to distinguish the modulation and demodulation on one hand, and the transmission and reception on the other. The first processes transform digital data to and from a low frequency modulated signal, and the second pair of processes transform this low frequency modulated signal to and from the electromagnetic field.

In current GSM systems the modulation method used is Gaussian Minimum Shift Keying ("GMSK"). GMSK provides a compromise between a fairly high spectrum efficiency and a reasonable demodulation complexity. In IS-136 today, the modulation scheme is  $\pi/4$ -Shift Differential Quaternary Phase-Shift Keying (DQPSK).

In the evolution of second generation cellular systems like GSM and D-AMPS, proposed changes have been made to the modulation scheme in order to provide higher bit rates within the same spectrum. There are several proposed schemes. One of these is Differentially-encoded Binary Continuous Phase Modulation ("DBCPM"). This is a family of modulation types, one example of which is  $\pi/4$ -DBCPM, with the advantage of high power amplifier efficiency. Another proposed scheme is Quaternary-Offset-Quadrature Amplitude Modulation ("Q-O-QAM"), also known as Offset-16QAM.

A key difference between these different modulation schemes is that they provide users with different data rates. The goal of the evolution of these systems is to increase user bit rate. The result is that the systems will be using different modulation schemes, often in neighboring cells, in order to provide different users more options for which data rate they will use. In addition, differing data rates will often require differing channel coding schemes. Also, several coding schemes

may be used for one modulation. As a result, there will be a variety of coding and modulation schemes providing different data rates.

Current handover algorithms set up that link between base station and mobile station which provides the highest link quality. There do exist, though, numerous variations of this base scheme where additional criteria are used, like vehicle speed, as illustrated in WO-9702716 "Method for Determining Handover in a Multicellular Communications System", or interference in other cells, as illustrated in WO-9528808 "Handover Method and Arrangement". However, none of the existing methods address the problem which will exist in evolved cellular systems, e.g. the problem of selecting the best cell for handover between cells with different air interface modes (e.g. with different modulation and coding schemes).

#### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates generally to the problem of cell selection, for e.g. cell handover, in mobile telecommunication systems, and more particularly to the problems discussed above. The means of solving these problems according to the present invention are summarized in the following.

As has been seen above, there is a current problem because current handover methods don't consider the capabilities of different cells in terms of different air interface modes when deciding which cell to handover to. It should also be pointed out that the general problems of selecting the best cell during handover apply also to other situations where cell selection occur, e.g. during call set-up or when the mobile stations continuously select cells during idle mode.

In current mobile communications systems this cell selection is not a problem because current systems typically use only one modulation scheme and one coding scheme in addition to typically using only one carrier and slot. In comparison, new systems are being proposed and developed, e.g. evolutions of GSM. In these evolved systems there will simultaneously exist different systems and different cells, each with different modulation and/or coding schemes to provide different data rates to different users. Present cell selection methods are not optimally designed to select the "best" cell for handover in these evolved systems.

Accordingly, it is one object of the present invention to provide a method of selecting the best cell from the user's point of view, i.e. in terms of maximizing the Quality of Service ("QoS"). The maximum QoS can be given in terms of e.g. higher data/bit rate or throughput. This new method of selecting the best cell is done by extending the known algorithm for cell selection and handover, and then applying additional criteria that take into account the capabilities of mobile station and the base stations that are possible candidates. Preferably, achievable data rate (for "transparent" services) or throughput (for "non-transparent" services) is predicted for the different cell candidates, based on their received signal strength or C/I estimates, their different capabilities, multislot availability, etc., and the cell is selected for which the predicted data rate or throughput is maximum.

For the prediction of data rate and throughput, the different levels of robustness of the air interface modes against noise and interference should be taken into account. This can be done for non-transparent services inherently by estimation of Quality of Service in terms of throughput. For transparent services, the quality of service as given by bit rate and

required bit error rate, is a suitable criterion. A strategy for the latter case is to select the "closest", e.g. measured by the received signal strength on the broadcast channel, cell that provides the required QoS.

5 By applying the extended cell selection algorithm according to the present invention, data rate or throughput on some connections may be increased at the cost of higher interference. Thus, another embodiment of the present invention is to take into account system measures like e.g.  
10 load and estimated interference level. This may be useful in order to enable the operator to allow such far-distance connections with high data rates only if impact on the system is low. This would be true where e.g. the system load is low.

Although the invention has been summarized above, the method  
15 according to the present invention is defined according to appended claims 1 and 21. Various embodiments are further defined in dependent claims 2-20 and 22.

Although this invention has been discussed primarily in the context of GSM systems, it is to be understood by anyone  
20 skilled in the art that the present invention is equally applicable to other types of systems such as evolved IS-136.

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The present invention will now be described in more detail with reference to preferred embodiments of the present  
25 invention, given only by way of example, and illustrated in the accompanying drawings, in which:

FIG. 1 is a drawing of a cellular mobile communications system.

FIG. 2a is a diagram of a mobile station and handover  
30 candidates in the system shown in Figure 1.



FIG. 2b is a graph showing the relationship between the data rate and signal power for a given interference level.

FIGS. 3a and 3b show a flowchart illustrating the steps of the method of the present invention.

5 FIGS. 4a and 4b show a flowchart illustrating the steps of the method of the present invention for non-transparent services.

FIGS. 5a and 5b show a flowchart illustrating the steps of the method of the present invention for transparent services.

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION

10 In Figure 2a can be seen a closer view of a few cells e.g. 210, 220, in a mobile system 200 with numerous cells and associated base stations. Also shown is one mobile station ("MS") although, of course, the present invention is not  
15 limited to systems with the number of cells shown here or for use with only one MS. The MS here is preparing for a handover to several possible candidates for handover. Although the method illustrated here is specifically shown for a handover procedure, the method of the present invention is one for  
20 general "cell selection", equally applicable to e.g. the problem of initial cell selection during call set-up or cell selection performed by the mobile stations during e.g. idle mode.

For illustration purposes there are shown here two suitable candidates, either the first cell 210 or the second cell 220.  
25 Responsibility for communications on the air interface 215 in the first cell 210 is taken by the first base station BS1. Responsibility for communications on the air interface 225 in the second cell 220 is taken by the second base station BS2.

It is assumed here that the MS supports several air interface  
30 modes (e.g. schemes S1, S2 and S3) that are based on different

coding and modulation schemes. These schemes provide different data rates. However, the signal power required to provide a given link quality and given interference level for each of these schemes is different.

5 As illustrated in Fig. 2a, the first base station BS1 also supports these three air interface schemes S1, S2 and S3. In contrast, the second base station BS2 supports only one scheme S1, providing the lowest data rate. It should be emphasized, however, that the invention is not restricted to base stations  
10 having this particular number of schemes. For example, in proposed standards for GSM it is planned that base stations will be capable of supporting up to eight different schemes.

The general method described below will function in all cases, e.g. if (1) the MS supports S1-3 and BS1 supports S1-3 (as  
15 shown in Figure 2a), or (2) the MS supports S1-2, and BS1 supports S1-3, or (3) the MS supports S1-3, and BS1 supports S1-2, or (4) the current standard handover situation where both MS and BS1 support S1 everywhere. The current method functions the same, independent of how many schemes the MS or  
20 the BSs support. In general, all possible links are checked for maximum QoS or throughput, and the link providing it will be selected.

In Figure 2b is shown a typical relation between the signal  
25 power which is required e.g. to obtain a desired bit error rate for a given interference (and noise) level, and provided data rate on the air interface (including forward error correction). It can be seen that the required signal power typically increases as the data rate increases. As a result,  
30 the air interface modes S1, S2 and S3 provide different data rates, but on the other hand are differently susceptible to interference (and noise). Estimates of which handover choice

is best should take into consideration this sensitivity when deciding which scheme will provide the best data rate. Looking again at Figure 2a, if we assume here that the quality of the link 225 from BS2 to MS is higher than that from BS1 to MS then the standard algorithms for cell selection and handover will lead to a traffic connection between the MS and BS2, although throughput may be increased by allowing a traffic connection between the MS and BS1 if a scheme with higher data rates can be used.

However, if we assume that the MS applies the cell selection algorithm according to the present invention we can get a different result. According to the new algorithm the links MS-BS1 215 and MS-BS2 225 are checked not only for a maximum link quality, but the capabilities of both the base stations, BS1 and BS2, as well as the MS are considered as well. In this context as well as previously, link quality may be given by one or several measures like e.g. the received signal level on the broadcast channel, the carrier-to-interference power ratio ("C/I"), the raw BER estimate. Here it may appear that a connection to BS1 will provide a higher data rate or a higher throughput than a connection to BS2. In this case, the cell 210 with BS1 is selected by the present invention's selection algorithm which is usually performed by a control unit on the network side, e.g. the base station controller ("BSC") in a GSM system.

The application of the present algorithm differs according to whether the type of service being provided is a "transparent" service or a "non-transparent" one. A brief explanation of these is in order. It is known that for a given noise and interference level and given channel conditions, the characteristics of transmission when error correction is provided is a compromise between the throughput of data, the transmission delay and the remaining error rate. In modern

systems like GSM, no single trade-off fits all the different types of services (e.g. speech vs. data). Out of the possibilities, a short delay despite a relatively high error rate is better in some cases, whereas (e.g. fax) a long delay can be tolerated in order to achieve a better transmission quality.

For these reasons, several types of connection are provided in GSM. For those services to which the transmission is "transparent" (e.g. speech) the system provides a connection with a constant bit rate. For the "non-transparent" services (e.g. fax) there is no continuous connection. The information is sent in packets which may be retransmitted if errors occur, leading to a lower "effective bit rate", which is termed "throughput".

The present invention uses a prediction of data rate and throughput in the handover decision making process. To do this, different levels of robustness of the air interface modes against noise and interference are taken into account. For non-transparent services this is done by estimating the throughput. For transparent services the quality of service ("QoS"), given by e.g. the bit rate and required bit error rate, is the most suitable criterion. QoS can also be defined for non-transparent services, and then is equal to throughput. This general definition of QoS is used particularly in the following general description of the present invention.

In Figure 3a is shown a flowchart illustrating an embodiment of the algorithm according to the present invention. This embodiment is described independently of the service, and may be used for non-transparent as well as transparent services. The first step 310 in the algorithm is to determine, by monitoring, the capabilities of the BS candidates. These capabilities include e.g. supported coding schemes, supported

modulation schemes, multicarrier capability, and multislot capability. This determination is done continuously and will typically be performed in e.g. the base station controller BSC. In addition, the capabilities of the MS must also be  
5 checked in the first step 310. This is because the MS may not necessarily support all the schemes available for each BS candidate. Only the capabilities of links on a BS which are supported by the MS need to be considered.

The second step 320 of the algorithm is to measure the link  
10 qualities of the BS candidates. The link quality may be given by one or more of a variety of possible measures, including, but not limited to, (1) received signal strength on the broadcast channel BCH, (2) carrier-to-interference ("C/I") estimate for the BCH or traffic channel, and (3) raw BER  
15 estimate on a traffic channel.

The third step 330 of the algorithm is to estimate a quality of service ("QoS") value for each possible connection with each candidate base station. This estimation procedure can be performed according to appropriate algorithms which are not  
20 the subject of the present invention. The objective is to use the available information for each cell, e.g. received signal strength combined with cell capabilities, to estimate the best QoS for each cell.

The final step 340 of the algorithm is to select the BS among  
25 the list of handover candidates to which connection provides the best QoS. Handover will then be performed to that cell providing the best QoS.

Figure 3b illustrates an optional extension to this final step. The first three steps 310, 320 and 330 in Figure 3a have  
30 corresponding equivalent steps 315, 325, and 335, in the embodiment shown in Figure 3b. In Figure 3b the final estimation procedure 345 of selecting the BS with the best QoS

may additionally take into account system criteria like load and interference level, as further described below. In the algorithm as depicted in Figure 3a no system criterion is explicitly used. Instead, these values may be taken into  
5 account inherently by estimation of the best QoS on the various links. However, it should be noted that system parameters such as load may also be used explicitly as in Figure 3b.

These further criteria used in Figure 3b are those which are  
10 suitable from a system point of view, e.g. to avoid a significant increase of average outage probability or interference level. One such system criterion may be the load on the candidate base stations. This information is available in the control unit, e.g. the BSC, that performs the cell  
15 selection algorithm. A simple implementation is to allow the connection, e.g. MS-BS1, only if the load on BS1 is below a pre-defined threshold. This may be defined by the percentage of used traffic channels. By this additional criterion, an impact on the total interference can be avoided.

20 The network operator may be able to adapt the parameters of this extended cell algorithm individually. For instance, it may be possible to have MSs that have higher priority for high data rate connections than other MSs. This may be implemented by using different thresholds for the allowed load for the  
25 selection of cells providing higher data rates.

However, the system criterion "load" may not be sufficient where e.g. the increase of interference is more crucial, and load is only a rough estimate of this value. In this case, the suitable system criterion for cell selection is the estimate  
30 of increase of interference by selecting the link MS-BS1 with higher data rates.

In addition, it is apparent that criteria may be combined to take into account several aspects. An example for a combined criterion may be defined by a combination of the data rate provided on the individual links and the load on the individual BS. Calculate  $Q = a * (\text{provided} - \text{data} - \text{rate}) - b * (\text{load} - \text{on} - \text{BS})$ , where  $a$  and  $b$  are positive constants. Here, the base station with maximum value  $Q > 0$  would be selected.

In Figure 4a is shown a flowchart illustrating an embodiment of the algorithm according to the present invention in the case of non-transparent services. The first step 410 in the algorithm is to determine, by monitoring, the capabilities of the BS candidates. These capabilities include e.g. supported coding schemes, supported modulation schemes, multicarrier capability, and multislots capability. This determination is done continuously and will typically be performed in e.g. the base station controller BSC. In addition, the capabilities of the MS must also be checked. This is because the MS may not necessarily support all the schemes available for each BS candidate. Only the capabilities of links on a BS which are supported by the MS need to be considered.

The second step 420 of the algorithm is to measure the link qualities of the BS candidates. The link quality may be given by one or more of a variety of possible measures, including, but not limited to, (1) received signal strength on the broadcast channel BCH, (2) carrier-to-interference ("C/I") estimate for the BCH or traffic channel, and (3) raw BER estimate on a traffic channel.

The third step 430 of the algorithm is to estimate the throughput for all the BSs. This can be compared to the method in Figures 3a and 3b. The best QoS in the general method of Figures 3a, 3b, becomes the highest data throughput when providing non-transparent services as in Figures 4a, 4b. This

estimation procedure of throughput can be performed according to appropriate algorithms which are not part of the present invention. The objective is to use the available information for each cell, e.g. received signal strength combined with  
5 cell capabilities, to estimate the maximum throughput for each cell.

The final step 440 of the algorithm is to select the BS among the list of handover candidates to which connection provides maximum throughput. Handover will then be performed to that  
10 cell providing the maximum throughput.

Figure 4b illustrates an optional extension to this final step. The first three steps 410, 420 and 430 in Figure 4a have corresponding equivalent steps 415, 425, and 435, in the embodiment shown in Figure 4b. In Figure 4b the final  
15 selection procedure 445 of selecting the BS with the best QoS may additionally take into account system criteria like load and interference level, as further described above in connection with Figure 3b. In the algorithm as depicted in Figure 4a no system criterion is explicitly used. Instead,  
20 these values may be taken into account inherently by estimation of achievable throughput on the various links. However, it should be noted that system parameters such as load may also be used explicitly as in Figure 4b.

In Figure 5a is shown a flowchart illustrating an embodiment  
25 of the algorithm according to the present invention in the case of transparent services. The first step 510 in the algorithm is to determine, by monitoring, the capabilities of the BS candidates. These capabilities include e.g. supported coding schemes, supported modulation schemes, multicarrier  
30 capability, and multislot capability. This determination is done continuously and will typically be performed in e.g. the base station controller BSC. In addition, the capabilities of



the MS must also be checked. This is because the MS may not necessarily support all the schemes available for each BS candidate. Only the capabilities of links on a BS which are supported by the MS need to be considered.

- 5 The second step 520 of the algorithm is to measure the link qualities of the BS candidates, as discussed previously. The link quality may be given by one or more of a variety of possible measures, including, but not limited to, (1) received signal strength on the broadcast channel BCH, (2) carrier-to-interference ("C/I") estimate for the BCH or traffic channel, 10 and (3) raw BER estimate on a traffic channel. The carrier-to-interference ratio, C/I, provides a better estimate of link quality.

- The third step 530 of the algorithm in Figure 5a shows a 15 difference in the application of the invention to transparent services as contrasted with the general method of Figures 3a, 3b, and with non-transparent services in Figures 4a, 4b. The third step of the algorithm is to estimate the quality of service ("QoS"), in e.g. terms of bit rate and required bit error rate, for all the BS candidates. Those BSs are then pre- 20 selected which provide sufficient QoS for the requested service.

- In the case of transparent services using a fixed source rate, a high QoS is equivalent to a low BER with a sufficient data 25 rate, as shown in Figures 5a, 5b. Other embodiments in the case of transparent services using an adaptive source rate would evaluate a high QoS as equivalent to a sufficient BER with a high data rate. In embodiments for speech services a high QoS would be evaluated as equivalent to high speech 30 quality. This would be used in the situation where several speech Codecs were in use, which is the case with adaptive multirate ("AMR") in GSM.

The final step 540 of the algorithm is to select the one BS among the list of candidate BSs with maximum link quality, e.g. measured by the received BCH signal strength out of those BSs which provide sufficient QoS as shown in Fig. 5a. Handover  
5 will then be performed to that cell providing the sufficient QoS.

Figure 5b illustrates an optional extension to this final step. The first three steps 510, 520 and 530 in Figure 4a have corresponding equivalent steps 515, 525, and 535, in the  
10 embodiment shown in Figure 5b. In Figure 5b the final selection procedure 545 of selecting the BS with sufficient QoS may additionally take into account system criteria like load and interference level, as further described above in connection with Figure 3b. In effect the final step 545 of the  
15 algorithm in Figure 5b consists of simultaneously checking which BS fulfils two prerequisites: maximum link quality and applied system criteria.

The embodiments described above serve merely as illustration and not as limitation. It will be apparent to one of ordinary  
20 skill in the art that departures may be made from the embodiments described above without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention. The invention should not be regarded as being limited to the examples described, but should be regarded instead as being equal in scope to the  
25 following claims.

## WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

1. A method for cell selection in a cellular mobile communications system having a plurality of cells, each with at least one Base Station, and a Mobile Station for communicating with said mobile system, using an air interface scheme supported by the at least one Base Station and the Mobile Station, through an air interface link to one of said at least one Base Stations, comprising the steps of:
- measuring the quality of the links between said Mobile Station and said Base Stations;
- said method characterized by further comprising the following steps:
- determining the communications capabilities of said Base Stations and of said Mobile Station;
- estimating a Quality of Service value for each possible link between said Mobile Station and said Base Stations; and
- selecting the cell with the Base Station having the highest estimated Quality of Service.
2. The method of Claim 1 further characterized wherein:
- each air interface scheme being based on a different coding and modulation scheme;
3. The method of Claim 1 or 2 further characterized wherein:
- said communications capabilities of said Base Stations and of said Mobile Station are measured in terms of the capabilities of said air interface schemes.
4. The method of Claim 1 or 2 further characterized wherein:

said capabilities of said Base Stations and of said Mobile Station are measured in terms of the multislot availability on the links to said Base Stations.

5. The method of Claim 1 or 2 further characterized wherein:

5 said capabilities of said Base Stations and of said Mobile Station are measured in terms of the multicarrier availability on the links to said Base Stations.

6. The method of Claims 1-5 further characterized wherein:

10 said highest Quality of Service is equivalent to the highest data throughput on said link.

7. The method of Claims 1-5 further characterized wherein:

said highest Quality of Service is equivalent to a combination of the lowest Bit Error Rate and a sufficient data rate.

15 8. The method of Claims 1-5 further characterized wherein:

said highest Quality of Service is equivalent to a combination of the sufficient Bit Error Rate and the highest data rate.

9. The method of Claims 1-5 further characterized wherein.

20 said highest Quality of Service is equivalent to the highest speech quality.

10. The method of the above claims wherein said method further comprises the step of:

testing for a given system criterion.

25 11. The method of Claim 10, further characterized wherein:

said system criterion is the test if load is below a given threshold.

12. The method of Claim 10 further characterized wherein:

said system criterion is a test to see if the increase in interference level is below a given threshold.

13. The method of Claim 10 further characterized wherein:

said system criterion is the test if system criterion indicator based on a combination of load, interference level, and desired data rate is below a given threshold.

14. The method of the above claims further characterized wherein:

said Mobile Station is currently accessing said mobile system from a first Base Station and said cell selection is performed for the purpose of implementing a handover for said Mobile Station to a second Base Station.

15. The method of Claims 1-13 further characterized wherein:

said Mobile Station is preparing to access said mobile system and said cell selection is performed for the purpose of selecting the best cell through which to access said system.

16. The method of Claims 1-13 further characterized wherein:

said cell selection is performed while said Mobile Station is in idle mode.

17. The method of the above claims further characterized wherein:

said Mobile Station and said Base Stations all support an equal number of air interface schemes.

18. The method of Claims 1-16 further characterized wherein:  
said Mobile Station and at least one of said Base Stations  
supports an equal number of air interface schemes, and at  
least one Base Station supports fewer air interface schemes  
5 than said Mobile Station.

19. The method of Claims 1-16 further characterized wherein:  
said Mobile Station and at least one of said Base Stations  
supports an equal number of air interface schemes, and at  
least one Base Station supports more air interface schemes  
10 than said Mobile Station.

20. The method of Claims 1-16 further characterized wherein:  
said Mobile Station, at least one of said Base Stations and a  
second of said Base Stations each support a different number  
of air interface schemes.

15 21. A system for cell selection in a cellular mobile  
communications system having a plurality of cells, each with  
at least one Base Station, and a Mobile Station for  
communicating with said mobile system through an air  
interface link to one of said at least one Base Stations,  
20 comprising:

means for measuring the quality of the links between said MS  
and said Base Stations;

and further characterized by

said Mobile Station and said Base Stations supporting at  
25 least one air interface scheme;

means for determining the capabilities of said Base Stations  
and of said Mobile Station;

means for estimating a Quality of Service value for each possible link between said Mobile Station and said Base Stations; and

5 means for selecting the cell with the Base Station having the highest estimated Quality of Service.

22. The system for cell selection of Claim 21 further characterized by:

means for testing for a given system criterion.

1/5




Fig. 1



2/5


200

Fig. 2a




Fig. 2b

3/5




Fig. 3a




Fig. 3b

4/5




Fig. 4a




Fig 4b

5/5




Fig. 5a




Fig. 5b

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/SE 99/00440

<b>A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER</b>		
IPC6: H04Q 7/38, H04B 7/26 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC		
<b>B. FIELDS SEARCHED</b>		
Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)		
IPC6: H04Q, H04B		
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched		
SE,DK,FI,NO classes as above		
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)		
<b>C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT</b>		
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 5371780 A (N. AMITAY), 6 December 1994 (06.12.94), column 5, line 10 - line 29; column 5, line 35 - line 57; column 6, line 12 - line 21, column 10, line 65 - column 11, line 14	1-5,9-22
Y	--	6-8
Y	WO 9737503 A1 (BRITISH TELECOMMUNICATIONS PUBLIC LIMITED COMPANY), 9 October 1997 (09.10.97), page 9, line 18 - page 11, line 21; page 16, line 1 - line 9	7-8
Y	US 5590126 A (P.P. MISHRA ET AL), 31 December 1996 (31.12.96), column 7, line 54 - line 56	6
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> See patent family annex.		
* Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed "I" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention "X" document of particular relevance: the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance: the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art "&" document member of the same patent family		
Date of the actual completion of the international search		Date of mailing of the international search report
31 August 1999		13 -09- 1999
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ Swedish Patent Office Box 5055, S-102 42 STOCKHOLM Facsimile No. +46 8 666 02 86		Authorized officer Per Källquist/cs Telephone No. +46 8 782 25 00

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/SE 99/00440

## C (Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	EP 0767548 A2 (AT&T CORP.), 9 April 1997 (09.04.97), column 3, line 20 - line 40 --	7-8
A	EP 0766489 A2 (AT&T CORP.), 2 April 1997 (02.04.97), column 9, line 12 - line 15 --	6
A	US 5408514 A (M. SAKAMOTO ET AL), 18 April 1995 (18.04.95), column 5, line 24 - line 66; column 21, line 34 - line 37; column 22, line 9 - line 32, column 22, line 55 - line 63; column 23, line 36 - line 44; column 3, line 48 - line 55; column 4, line 44 - line 50; column 10, line 66 - column 11, line 15; --	16
A	US 5717689 A (E. AYANOGLU), 10 February 1998 (10.02.98), column 8, line 55 - line 65; column 9, line 46 - line 48; column 10, line 12 - line 21, column 2, line 27 - line 30; column 4, line 18 - line 30; column 9, line 1 - line 8; column 10, line 64 - line 65; column 11, line 2 - line 13 --	16
A	WO 9731499 A (NOKIA MOBILE PHONES), 28 August 1997 (28.08.97), page 7, line 18 - line 37; page 8, line 32 - line 34; page 13, line 4 - line 8 --	1-22
A	US 5280630 A (MOTOROLA INC.), 18 January 1994 (18.01.94), column 10, line 20 - line 34; column 11, line 6 - line 12; column 13, line 9 - column 15, line 21, column 15, line 22 - line 50 --	1-22
A	WO 9731495 A1 (NOKIA MOBILE PHONES LTD. ET AL), 28 August 1997 (28.08.97), page 1, line 30 - line 36; page 3, line 3 - line 28; page 4, line 20 - line 24, page 5, line 21 - page 8, line 18 --	1-22

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.  
PCT/SE 99/00440

C (Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	US 5625869 A (D. ROUFFET ET AL), 29 April 1997 (29.04.97), column 1, line 62 - line 67; column 5, line 1 - line 55, abstract  -- -----	1-22

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.  
PCT/SE99/00440

## Box I Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 1 of first sheet)

This international search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:

1. ☐ Claims Nos.:  
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
  
2. ☐ Claims Nos.:  
because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:

## Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)

This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:

See next page.

1. ☐ As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.
2. ☒ As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3. ☐ As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
  
4. ☐ No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:

### Remark on Protest

- ☐ The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.
- ☐ No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.



# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.  
**PCT/SE99/00440**

The common or corresponding technical features of the independent claims 1 and 21 are:

cell selection by

- measuring the quality of the links between a mobile station and at least one base station,
- determining the communication capabilities of the base station and the mobile station,
- estimating the quality of service for each possible link between the mobile station and the base station(s) and
- selecting the cell with the highest estimated quality of service.

The document US, 5371780, A discloses a method with these technical features (see particularly col. 5).

There are seven groups of technical features claimed, which do not contain either the same or corresponding technical features, as they relate to different problems and their different solutions.

- I: The invention as claimed in claim 2 refers to coding and modulation.
- II: The invention as claimed in claims 3-5 refers to various air interfaces for time or frequency multiplexed systems.
- III: The invention as claimed in claims 6-9 refers to various metrics for 'quality of service.'
- IV: The invention as claimed in claims 1, 10-13, 21 and 22 refers to various test criteria for cell selection.
- V: The invention as claimed in claims 14-15 refers to various purposes of the cell selection.
- VI: The invention as claimed in claim 16 refers to cell selection in a particular mode.
- VII: The invention as claimed in claims 17-20 refers to the number of air interface schemes supported by the mobile stations and the base stations.

Consequently the claims do not fulfill the requirement of unity (PCT Rule 13).

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT  
Information on patent family members

02/08/99

International application No.  
PCT/SE 99/00440

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 5371780 A	06/12/94	CA 2075022 A,C	02/05/93
		EP 0545533 A	09/06/93
		JP 5252101 A	28/09/93
		CA 2050104 A,C	02/04/92
		DE 69124724 D,T	05/06/97
		EP 0479477 A,B	08/04/92
		SE 0479477 T3	
		HK 119097 A	05/09/97
		JP 2520529 B	31/07/96
		JP 4264829 A	21/09/92
		SG 44474 A	19/12/97
		US 5384826 A	24/01/95
WO 9737503 A1	09/10/97	AU 2300397 A	22/10/97
		CA 2248105 A	09/10/97
		CN 1214843 A	21/04/99
		EP 0890273 A	13/01/99
		NO 984505 A	27/11/98
		GB 9619897 D	00/00/00
US 5590126 A	31/12/96	CA 2181283 A	28/03/97
		EP 0766489 A	02/04/97
		JP 9154178 A	10/06/97
EP 0767548 A2	09/04/97	CA 2184772 A	06/04/97
		JP 9186646 A	15/07/97
		NO 964220 A	07/04/97
		US 5734646 A	31/03/98
EP 0766489 A2	02/04/97	CA 2181283 A	28/03/97
		JP 9154178 A	10/06/97
		US 5590126 A	31/12/96
US 5408514 A	18/04/95	CA 2052466 A	03/04/92
		DE 69124049 D,T	28/05/97
		EP 0479255 A,B	08/04/92
		EP 0700173 A	06/03/96
		JP 2078622 C	09/08/96
		JP 4140935 A	14/05/92
		JP 7121143 B	20/12/95
		US 5317623 A	31/05/94
		JP 2078623 C	09/08/96
		JP 4140936 A	14/05/92
		JP 7121144 B	20/12/95
		JP 2501369 B	29/05/96
		JP 4140937 A	14/05/92
		JP 4142821 A	15/05/92
US 5717689 A	10/02/98	CA 2184417 A	11/04/97
		EP 0768806 A	16/04/97
		JP 9130407 A	16/05/97

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT  
Information on patent family members

02/08/99

International application No.  
PCT/SE 99/00440

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO 9731499 A	28/08/97	AU 1798197 A	10/09/97
		CN 1212112 A	24/03/99
		EP 0880866 A	02/12/98
		FI 102654 B	00/00/00
		FI 960815 A	23/08/97
		US 5896373 A	20/04/99
US 5280630 A	18/01/94	BR 9207077 A	05/12/95
		CA 2127467 A	22/07/93
		CN 1029580 B	23/08/95
		CN 1075236 A	11/08/93
		EP 0666003 A	09/08/95
		US 5471671 A	28/11/95
WO 9731495 A1	28/08/97	WO 9314579 A	22/07/93
		AU 1798297 A	10/09/97
		EP 0879540 A	25/11/98
		FI 102713 B	00/00/00
		FI 960816 A	23/08/97
US 5625869 A	29/04/97	US 5912885 A	15/06/99
		JP 2757243 B	25/05/98
		JP 6284462 A	07/10/94